Interaction Between Obesity and the Gut Microbiota: Relevance in Nutrition

Nathalie M. Delzenne and Patrice D. Cani

Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain Drug Research Institute, Metabolism and Nutrition Research Group, Brussels, B-1200 Belgium; email: nathalie.delzenne@uclouvain.be, Patrice.cani@uclouvain.be

Annu. Rev. Nutr. 2011. 31:15-31

First published online as a Review in Advance on May 11, 2011

The Annual Review of Nutrition is online at nutr.annualreviews.org

This article's doi: 10.1146/annurev-nutr-072610-145146

Copyright © 2011 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

0199-9885/11/0821-0015\$20.00

Keywords

gut microbiota, LPS, obesity, probiotic, prebiotic, bifidobacteria, bacteroidetes, firmicutes

Abstract

This review examines mechanisms by which the bacteria present in the gut interact with nutrients and host biology to affect the risk of obesity and associated disorders, including diabetes, inflammation, and liver diseases. The bacterial metabolism of nutrients in the gut is able to drive the release of bioactive compounds (including short-chain fatty acids or lipid metabolites), which interact with host cellular targets to control energy metabolism and immunity. Animal and human data demonstrate that phylogenic changes occur in the microbiota composition in obese versus lean individuals; they suggest that the count of specific bacteria is inversely related to fat mass development, diabetes, and/or the low levels of inflammation associated with obesity. The prebiotic and probiotic approaches are presented as interesting research tools to counteract the drop in target bacteria and thereby to estimate their relevance in the improvement of host metabolism.

Contents

INTRODUCTION	10
THE GUT MICROBIOTA: A	
COMPLEX SYMBIOTIC	
SYSTEM	10
Short-Chain Fatty Acids as	
Mediators of the Interaction	
Between Gut Microbes and the	
Host	12
Other Potential Mediators	12
Molecular Host Response Toward	
the Gut Microbiota	18
The Metabolomic Approach to	
Assess Microbe, Nutrient, and	
Host Interactions	18
COMPOSITION OF THE GUT	
MICROBIOTA IN OBESE	
VERSUS LEAN INDIVIDUALS:	
OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES IN	
ANIMALS AND HUMANS	18
Changes in Phylogenic Distribution	
in Obese or Diabetic Versus	
Healthy Individuals	18
Probiotic and Prebiotic Approaches	
to Evaluate the Relevance of	
Specific Bacteria in Obesity and	
Related Diseases	21
PROBIOTIC AND PREBIOTIC	
APPROACHES IN THE	
NUTRITIONAL	
MANAGEMENT OF OBESITY	
AND RELATED DISEASES	22
Involvement of Gut Peptides in the	
Control of Obesity by	
Prebiotics	22
Modulation of Obesity-Related	
Inflammation by Prebiotics and	
Probiotics	24
CONCLUSIONS AND NEW	
PERSPECTIVES	24

INTRODUCTION

The role of gut microbes in human physiology was largely underestimated until the 1980s for

several reasons. First, only a small fraction of bacteria could be cultured, and therefore the role and the taxonomy of billions of bacteria were unknown. Moreover, interest in the metabolic role of bacteria was mostly focused on their potential to ferment nutrients and produce harmful toxins. As a consequence, medical research was mainly devoted to addressing how to fight microbes (pathogens) to avoid a worldwide epidemic or to manage infections responsible for severe diseases and mortality. These views have changed in the past decade. The gut microbiota has been reconsidered in a more positive way. The concepts of probiotics and prebiotics, based on the rationale that some bacteria act positively on host health, have clearly affected this evolution (99, 103). There is no doubt today that the gut microbiota help balance key vital functions for the host, including immunity and nutritional status, and participate in health maintenance (27, 105). Obesity is now considered an inflammatory disease and results from unbalanced nutrition. Therefore, it is conceivable that changes in the gut microbiota composition and/or function (named dysbiosis) must be taken into account when evaluating the elements driving adiposity and related metabolic disorders. The challenge today is to identify beneficial bacteria able to control adiposity and related metabolic disorders and to estimate the relevance of nutritional approaches to promote those bacteria in obesity for improving host health. This review discusses mechanisms by which gut microbes interact with nutrients and host biology to influence fat mass development and associated diseases and describes the pathophysiological relevance of the phylogenic changes that occur in the microbiota of obese versus nonobese individuals.

THE GUT MICROBIOTA: A COMPLEX SYMBIOTIC SYSTEM

To assess the relevance of the gut microbiota in obesity, it is crucial to understand how gut microbes interact with the host and participate in the metabolic response to diet. Most of the effects linked to the gut microbiota depend on the production of bacterial metabolites, which promote competitive interactions between gut bacteria (i.e., via bacteriocins) (in situ effects) or reach host tissues to act as metabolic regulators (systemic effects).

Short-Chain Fatty Acids as Mediators of the Interaction Between Gut Microbes and the Host

For example, the microbial fermentation of carbohydrates in the gut produces short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (acetate, propionate, butyrate, and lactate). The simple drop in pH driven by SCFAs has been proposed to be involved in the maintenance of microbial ecosystems (46). The profile of SCFAs in the gut reflects the metabolic cooperation between different microbial types because no genus of bacteria can hydrolyze all substrates (nutrients) and none produce all four SCFAs upon carbohydrate fermentation (75). SCFAs can be captured by host cells through specific monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs), and they can then act as metabolic substrates or regulators. SCFAs have different metabolic features. Butyrate is used as an energy substrate for colonocytes, whereas acetate is potentially used as a cholesterol or fatty acid precursor. Propionate is a gluconeogenic substrate in the liver, but it may also counteract de novo lipogenesis from acetate or glucose in the same tissue (3, 41). More recently, SCFAs have been identified as the physiological ligands of G-coupled receptors GPR43 and 41 (also called free fatty acid receptor 2 and 3, respectively), which are expressed in several cell types (immune cells, endocrine cells, and adipocytes) and in a wide variety of host tissues (70, 108). Thus SCFAs, which are considered as indirect nutrients produced by the gut microbiota, have a role in the regulation of energy metabolism, immunity, and adipose tissue expansion and in modulating cancer cell development (34, 53, 88, 112, 126). SCFAs produced by fermentation have been proposed as drivers of the adipose tissue expansion observed in conventionalized (harboring

gut microbiota) versus germ-free mice (10). Moreover, the activation of GPR43 by the SCFAs acetate and propionate contributes to the inhibition of lipolysis and to adipocyte differentiation, thereby promoting the expansion of adipose tissue in high-fat-diet-fed animals (12, 53). In addition, transcriptomic analysis of the cecal microbial genome (microbiome) revealed a shift in the gut microbiota in favor of carbohydrate fermentation in obese mice fed a Western diet (117). Therefore, could polysaccharide fermentation in the gut be regarded as potentially harmful in the context of obesity? This idea is refuted by the observation that adding fermentable carbohydrates with prebiotic properties (inulin-type fructans) into the diet does not increase, but even lessens, fat mass development in obese mice or human subjects (17, 18). Interestingly, the supplementation with those prebiotics blunts the overexpression of GPR43 occurring in high-fat-fed animals, a phenomenon that contributes to lower adiposity (43). Below, we discuss further the potential mechanism by which nutrients with prebiotic properties can be helpful in the management of obesity.

Other Potential Mediators

Other molecules in addition to SCFAs can be released by gut microbes and are able to play a role in host metabolism regulation. Lipid metabolites such as conjugated linoleic acids (CLAs) (42, 63, 122) or bile acids (110), gases such as methane or H₂S (79, 100), and heteropolysaccharides such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or peptidoglycan (16, 29) bind to specific receptors and thereby change the expression of genes and/or the metabolic activity of host cells. Some of them can play a harmful role in the context of obesity. For example, LPS is found in a significantly higher level in the serum of obese individuals. This creates a metabolic endotoxemia that drives obesity, insulin resistance, and systemic inflammation (16). Other substances have been proposed as beneficial in controlling obesity-related diseases; these include CLAs, which are produced from polyunsaturated fatty

Gut microbiota:

microorganisms (virus, bacteria, archae, and moods) present in the gastrointestinal content

Probiotics: live microorganisms that when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host

Prebiotics: selective stimulation of growth/ activity(ies) of a limited number of microbial genus(era)/species in the gut microbiota that confer(s) health benefits to the host

Dysbiosis: phylogenic and metabolic changes occurring in the microbiota during specific pathophysiological conditions

SCFAs: short-chain fatty acids

Microbiome: microbial genome

CLAs: conjugated linoleic acids

LPS:

lipopolysaccharide

acids by bacteria considered beneficial, such as bifidobacteria (56, 122).

FIAF: fasting-induced adipose factor

Molecular Host Response Toward the Gut Microbiota

In addition to the release of bioactive compounds, the presence of the gut microbiota also provokes changes in the expression of genes coding for peptides in host tissues, which control energy homeostasis and nutrient availability. Backhed and coworkers (10) were the first to demonstrate that colonizing germ-free mice with gut microbiota leads to a drop in the intestinal expression of angiopoietin-like factor IV [also called fasting-induced adipose factor (FIAF)], thereby blunting the inhibition of lipoprotein lipase in the adipose tissue; this explains why conventionalized mice are more sensitive than germ-free mice to fat storage when fed a high-fat diet. Several other proteins/systems (such as the endocannabinoid system) are influenced by gut colonization and/or are changed upon dietary modulation of gut microbiota composition, which are implicated in the control of inflammation, gut barrier function, gut motility, nutrient oxidation, and storage (84). Those targets are summarized in Figure 1.

The Metabolomic Approach to Assess Microbe, Nutrient, and Host Interactions

Interestingly, the characteristics of gut microbiota print a metabolic signature in host biological fluids (urine, blood) (9, 15, 31). The profile of microbial-derived metabolites—for example, bile acids or hippurate—is different in obese and in lean individuals (30, 121). The changes in the profile of microbial metabolites in biological fluids can be related to the level of specific families of bacteria, on the one hand, and on associated host phenotypic alterations, such as lipid accumulation in the liver tissue, on the other hand (30). Stable isotope–based techniques can be used to assess the serum and urinary profile of microbial metabolites produced from nutrients (119). There is no doubt that these metabolomic approaches will be helpful in the future to identify biomarkers reflecting interactions between gut microbiota, host, and nutrients.

COMPOSITION OF THE GUT MICROBIOTA IN OBESE VERSUS LEAN INDIVIDUALS: OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES IN ANIMALS AND HUMANS

The composition of the gut microbial community is different in each individual, according to his or her age, growth, and dietary habits, as well as environmental factors. **Figure 2** summarizes which individual and environmental factors can drive changes in gut microbiota composition upon the development of obesity. Lessons can be taken from the observed differences in the composition of the gut microbiota in obese versus lean individuals and from the description of the impact of interventions (dieting, surgery, probiotics, and prebiotics) on the gut microbiota in obese individuals.

Changes in Phylogenic Distribution in Obese or Diabetic Versus Healthy Individuals

ribosomal RNA gene (16SrRNA) 16S sequence-based analytical methods have shown that Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria constitute over 90% of the phyla and dominate the gut microbiota (96). Each phylum is subdivided into class, order, family, genus, and species. Most data reported until now observed changes at the phylum level, but numerous studies have also identified the potential impact of one or several specific species that may play an important role in host metabolism.

Studies in animals. The first demonstration of a specific change in the gut microbial community between obese and lean phenotypes was made in genetic obese (ob/ob) mice (71). The microbiota of obese mice were associated with changes in phyla proportions leading to fewer Bacteroidetes and more Firmicutes in

ob/ob than lean +/+ or *ob/+* littermates (71). At that time, no causal relationships were demonstrated between these two phyla and the development of obesity, and the role that specific species of these phyla have in obesity has not been investigated. We were the first to demonstrate that high-fat-diet feeding profoundly affects the gut microbial community, resulting in a significant modulation of dominant microbial populations in the gut microbiota of mice within four weeks. We observed a reduced number of the newly recognized Gramnegative operating taxonomic units, Bacteroideslike Mouse Intestinal Bacteria, which reside within the Cytophaga-Flavobacter-Bacteroides phylum. Eubacterium rectale-Clostridium coccoides group and bifidobacteria were also significantly decreased in obese mice, whereas no changes in Lactobacilli/Enterococci and Bacteroides were observed (16). A longer period of treatment with a high-fat diet (14 weeks) provoked similar changes in the gut microbiota, with a significant decrease in the family Enterobacteriaceae and in Bacteroides spp. (24). In a recent study, Murphy et al. (85) explored the effects of a highfat diet and genetic obesity on the gut microbiota over time. The authors found an increase in Firmicutes in both high-fat-fed and ob/ob mice. They also described a decrease in Proteobacteria and Bifidobacterium spp. upon highfat-diet feeding (85).

Other studies have confirmed the strong impact of dietary fat on the composition of the gut microbiota in mice. Turnbaugh et al. (115) used metagenomic approaches to demonstrate that diet-induced obesity was associated with a bloom in Mollicutes, a class of bacteria belonging to the Firmicutes phylum, and a relative suppression of the Bacteroidetes phylum. Specific changes within the Firmicutes phylum occurred upon high-fat-diet feeding, which led to a drastic increase in the Erysipelotrichi class (i.e., Clostridium innocuum, Eubacterium dolichum, and Catenibacterium mitsuokai), representing 16% of the total 16S rRNA sequences (117). Hildebrandt et al. (59) found that mice fed a high-fat diet for three months exhibited higher Clostridiales and fewer Bac-

teroidales orders, also supporting the increase in the proportion of corresponding phyla (increased Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes ratio). Interestingly, and in accordance with the decrease in Bacteroidetes and with previous findings (24), the families Bacteroidaceae, Prevotellaceae, and Rickenellaceae were decreased in high-fat-fed mice (59). A recent study by Zhang et al. (127) found that four different lineages within the Erysipelotrichaceae responded differentially to a high-fat diet. In this study, the authors found that the family Bifidobacteriaceae (e.g., bifidobacteria) was present in lean control mice but completely disappeared in diet-induced obese mice. The decrease in Bifidobacterium spp. has also been confirmed in a model of genetic obese and diabetic rats (fa/fa rats) (121), whereas we found, by using highthroughput culture-independent approaches (e.g., 454 bar-coded pyrosequencing of the 16Sribosomal-RNA) (68, 69), that Bifidobacterium spp. were virtually absent in obese (ob/ob) mice (P.D. Cani, personal communication).

Altogether, these animal studies strongly support the idea that obesity, and more likely high-fat diets, might be directly involved in the modulation of gut microbiota composition both at the phylum and genus levels. They also point out reproducible changes in the gut microbiota associated with obesity, such as an increase in Firmicutes phylum or a decrease of *Bifidobacterium* spp. Several bacteria that are less well known, namely Desulfovibrionaceae, were positively associated with obesity and/or type 2 diabetes (59, 127).

Studies in humans.

Changes at the phylum level: confirmation for increased Firmicutes and controversies about Bacteroidetes. In 2006, one year after their first observation in experimental animals (71), Ley et al. (72) confirmed that obese subjects had a larger proportion of Firmicutes and relatively fewer Bacteroidetes than did lean subjects. In this study, they also showed that the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes approached a profile from a lean subject after weight loss

(following either a low-fat or а lowcarbohydrate diet). In accordance with these data, Turnbaugh et al. (116) focused their analyses on the gut microbiota of 154 monozygotic or dizygotic twin-pair individuals concordant for their lean or obese phenotype and their mothers. First, they found a decrease in phylogenic microbial diversity in obese subjects as well as a reduced representation of the Bacteroidetes and more Actinobacteria (116). Although a recent study confirmed a reduction of Bacteroidetes in obese patients (6), Duncan et al. (45) did not detect any differences for this phylum between obese and nonobese subjects. Moreover, no significant changes were observed when they examined the percentage of Bacteroidetes in the feces of obese subjects under controlled weightmaintenance diets or a weight-loss program (45). Furthermore, a sequencing-based study performed by Zhang et al. (128) demonstrated that obese subjects harbored even more Bacteroidetes than normal-weight individuals. In addition, they found an enrichment of the Prevotellaceae (belonging to the Bacteroidetes phylum) in the obese patients (128). Finally, Schwiertz et al. (104) found that the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes changed in favor of the Bacteroidetes in overweight and obese subjects. From those studies, it appears that the level of Bacteroidetes phylum does not change in the same way in obese individuals. The relevance of Firmicutes phylum is supported by the observation that surgical treatment of obesity (gastric bypass) strongly increased Gammaproteobacteria (members of the family Enterobacteriaceae) and proportionally decreased Firmicutes (128).

Changes at the genus/species level: not enough bifidobacteria, too much Staphylococcus aureus. Interesting data have come from the observed changes in the species or genera of bacteria related to overweight and obesity. Kalliomaki et al. (61) have shown that the *Bifidobacterium* spp. number was higher in children who exhibited a normal weight at seven years than in children becoming overweight. This supports the idea that differences in the gut microbiota composition may precede becoming overweight (61). In this study, the authors also observed a reduced Staphylococcus aureus count in normal-weight children compared to children who became overweight several years later. In accordance with this report, Collado et al. (32) observed more Bacteroides spp. and Staphylococcus aureus in the fecal sample of overweight compared to normal-weight women. They also found a positive correlation with the total *Bacteroides* spp. and weight and body mass index (BMI) (before and during pregnancy). Interestingly, they discovered that bifidobacteria were present in higher numbers not only in normal-weight compared with overweight women but also in women with lower weight gain during pregnancy (32). More recently, Santacruz et al. (101) compared the gut microbial community between 34 normal-weight and 16 overweight women, before and after pregnancy. Interestingly, they found lower *Bifidobacterium* spp., but contrary to the previous study, fewer Bacteroides spp. in overweight women as compared with normalweight women. In addition, Staphylococcus, Escherichia coli, and Enterobacteriaceae were significantly higher in overweight compared with normal-weight women (101). Importantly, Bifidobacterium and Bacteroides were inversely correlated with body weight, whereas an opposite trend was found for Staphylococcus, Escherichia coli, and Enterobacteriaceae (101). Increased numbers of Bifidobacterium were also correlated with women who had normal weight gain compared with those with excessive weight gain over pregnancy.

Differential microbial targets in obese and diabetic patients. Specific changes in the gut microbiota have been associated not only with obesity but also with type 2 diabetes. Wu et al. (124) compared the gut microbiota composition from 16 type 2 diabetic patients and 12 healthy subjects. Contrary to data on obese patients, the diversity profiles of both groups were similar (124). Interestingly, following their analysis at the genus level, the authors found that *Bacteroides* were more prevalent in the type 2 diabetic patients, whereas Prevotella was less prevalent in diabetics compared with healthy individuals. Interestingly, they also found a remarkable decline in the Bifidobacterium spp. in diabetic patients (124). Larsen et al. (67) have shown a significant decrease in the Firmicutes phylum in individuals with diabetes in comparison with controls. Furthermore, they found a positive correlation between plasma glucose and the ratio of Bacteroidetes to Firmicutes, the ratio of Bacteroides-Prevotella to Clostridium coccoides, and the Betaproteobacteria count. Thus, in this study, persons with type 2 diabetes exhibited a gut microbial community enriched with Gram-negative bacteria (Bacteroides-Prevotella and Betaproteobacteria), which was correlated to glucose intolerance.

Furet et al. (52) found that the *Bacteroides-Prevotella* group and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* species were lower in obese subjects. Interestingly, they showed that the *F. prausnitzii* count was negatively correlated with an inflammatory state and diabetes.

Probiotic and Prebiotic Approaches to Evaluate the Relevance of Specific Bacteria in Obesity and Related Diseases

Even though several observational studies have revealed specific bacteria that are lowered upon obesity or related disorders (such as F. prausnitzii, Bifidobacterium spp., or lactobacilli), these are often controversial data, which raises questions about their relevance in the control of obesity and diabetes. For example, a study performed on 15 Indian obese female children has shown that Bacteroides-Prevotella, Eubacterium rectale, Bifidobacterium spp., or Lactobacillus acidophilus were equivalent between lean and obese subjects. Strikingly, the level of F. prausnitzii was even found to be significantly higher in obese compared with nonobese individuals (11). The only way to prove the relevance of specific bacteria in the control of diseases is to counteract their drop quite specifically and to observe any effects on host health.

Treatment with prebiotics and probiotics selectively changes the composition of the gut microbiota in favor of a specific genus and even specific strains (for probiotics). The available intervention studies in animals and humans with these compounds are quite useful in assessing the relevance of selected bacteria in obesity and related diseases (80, 95, 99).

Probiotic approach to estimate the relevance of lactobacilli in obesity and related disorders. The genus Lactobacillus spp., belonging to the Firmicutes phylum, has been the subject of considerable controversy in the literature (4, 6, 7, 11, 39, 47, 60, 77, 98, 102). The debate concerns a potential causal link between lactobacilli and obesity. Armougom et al. (6) have compared the gut microbiota composition of obese and lean patients and found that lactobacilli were increased in obese individuals, although those bacteria were detected in less than half of the obese and lean patients (9 of the 20 obese versus 5 of the 20 lean subjects). Balamurugan et al. (11) did not find any relationship between body weight and Lactobacillus spp. In addition, weight loss associated with lower energy intake and higher physical activity in overweight adolescents is associated with high fecal lactobacilli counts (102). Moreover, increased Lactobacillus spp. numbers were associated with a lower weight gain over pregnancy. In this group, lactobacilli and infant birth weight were also inversely correlated (101).

Several strains of lactobacilli have been tested as a probiotic approach in experimental models of obesity and in humans. Specific strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Lactobacillus paracesei* spp. reduce adipocyte cell size and body fat in high-fat-diet-fed mice (7, 111). Moreover, the administration of a strain of *Lactobacillus gasseri* to obese and type 2 diabetic patients has been shown to decrease fat mass (visceral and subcutaneous) and BMI (60). In addition, Andreasen et al. (4) have recently demonstrated that the administration of *Lactobacillus* spp. positively impacts insulin sensitivity. Finally, compelling evidence suggests that early gut microbiota modulation with probiotics (i.e., lactobacilli) strongly reduces the BMI in young children by restraining excessive weight gain during the first years of life (from 0 to 10 years of follow-up) (76). All of these data support an improvement of obesity and related disorders by lactobacilli supplementation. These data raise the questions of whether these effects are strain specific and what the mechanisms are. Currently, few data clearly demonstrate the way in which lactobacilli can counteract adiposity. Changes in the expression of genes coding for proteins, which control fat storage (angiopoietin-like factor 4) and are known to respond to gut microbiota, have been proposed as potential host targets (7).

Prebiotic approaches to estimating the relevance of bifidobacteria in obesity and related disorders. Inulin-type fructans were the first nondigestible carbohydrates considered as prebiotics because they were able to promote a selective and significant increase in bifidobacteria and to improve health in different pathophysiological conditions (99). We have shown that fructan administration was able to increase bifidobacteria levels in high-fat-diet-fed mice quite selectively, without counteracting the drop in Cytophaga-Flavobacter-Bacteroides and the Eubacterium rectale-Clostridium coccoides group (24). Interestingly, in this study, negative correlations were shown between Bifidobacterium spp. numbers and glucose tolerance, visceral fat mass, fasting insulinemia, plasma LPS level, and inflammatory markers.

As summarized in **Figure 2**, prebiotic intervention decreases fat storage in white adipose tissue and in the liver (steatosis), lessens glycemia and hepatic insulin resistance, and decreases endotoxemia and systemic inflammation in several nutritional (high-fatdiet-fed) and genetic (*ob/ob* mice, fa/fa rats) obese rodents (17, 22, 25, 26, 35, 37, 38, 99). Some beneficial effects of fructans on BMI, waist circumference, fat mass, and/or insulin resistance were shown in the limited studies available in humans (1, 55, 81, 82, 91, 99).

The correlation between health improvement and the changes in the gut microbiota (in

favor of bifidobacteria or others) has never been studied in overweight or diabetic patients. In addition, no studies have been published yet using a probiotic approach to determine whether a specific species of Bifidobacterium is associated with body weight gain. This would be useful because it has been shown that weight loss is associated with reduced Bifidobacterium bifidum and Bifidobacterium breve and increased Bifidobacterium catenulatum (102). Those data support the fact that not all bacteria belonging to the same species or group exert the same effect. The relative contribution of the different types of bacteria inside the *Bifidobacterium* spp. merits further investigations in the field of obesity (13, 14, 118). Nevertheless, the prebiotic approach also seems interesting because it may help promote other beneficial bacteria. For example, inulin-type fructans have been shown to increase not only bifidobacteria but also F. prausnitzii in healthy volunteers (97). The potential role of F. prausnitzii as a modulator of inflammation and diabetes in obese individuals has recently been proposed (52). Therefore, a prebiotic approach as well as a probiotic approach (consisting of the isolation and administration of selected F. Prausnitzii) could be relevant in the elucidation of a key role for this novel bacterial target in obesity.

PROBIOTIC AND PREBIOTIC APPROACHES IN THE NUTRITIONAL MANAGEMENT OF OBESITY AND RELATED DISEASES

Involvement of Gut Peptides in the Control of Obesity by Prebiotics

Regulation of appetite. In the past ten years, we and others have contributed to deciphering the complex interactions existing between the fermentation of nondigestible carbohydrates (e.g., inulin-type fructans and resistant starches) by the gut microbiota and the improvement of metabolic disorders (17, 18). Numerous peptides secreted by the enteroendocrine cells present along the gastrointestinal tract are involved in the regulation of energy homeostasis

and/or pancreatic functions. For instance, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), peptide YY (PYY), and ghrelin are three peptides able to modulate food intake and energy expenditure (28, 33, 44, 64, 125). Nowadays, changing the gut microbiota composition by prebiotics (inulin-type fructan, oligofructose) (99) leads to a significant decrease in food intake, body weight gain, and fat mass development in rodents. All of these features were associated with an increased production and secretion of two anorexigenic peptides (i.e., GLP-1 and PYY) and with the reduction of one orexigenic peptide (i.e., ghrelin) (Figure 3) (19, 20, 40, 65). Those studies revealed an increase in the number of endocrine L cells in the colon of prebiotics-treated animals. When assessing the role of colonic nutrients on obesity, sometimes it is rather difficult to make real distinctions between events related to gut microbiota composition and events related to gut microbial metabolites. This is illustrated by the fact that lactitol or resistant starches, which are both fermentable carbohydrates but which are not producing similar changes in the gut microbiota, exert effects similar to those observed with inulin-type fructan prebiotics; namely, a decrease in food intake and in body weight gain and an increase in plasma GLP-1 and PYY (8, 54, 62, 106, 129, 130).

The effects of prebiotics in humans confirm the relevance of the modulation of gut peptides. Piche et al. in 2003 were the first to report that inulin-type fructan feeding (20 g/d) significantly increased plasma GLP-1 after a meal in humans (94). Two studies, one by Archer et al. (5) and one by Whelan et al. (123), have demonstrated that the gut microbiota fermentation of nondigestible carbohydrates controls food intake behavior and impacts energy intake. We have shown that 16 g/d prebiotics (inulin-type fructans) were associated with greater satiety and reduced hunger and prospective food consumption in healthy subjects; the changes in appetite sensations were accompanied by higher plasma GLP-1 and PYY levels and by a 10% decrease in energy intake upon a controlled meal test day (21, 23). Interestingly, Parnell &

Reimer (92) have demonstrated the potential of prebiotics to modulate the gut microbiota in obese patients. After 12 weeks of treatment, they found that obese subjects exhibited a decrease in circulating ghrelin and an increase in PYY.

GLP: glucagon-like

Colonic nutrients:

all types of nutrients

peptide

that can be

substantially metabolized by the

microorganisms

present in the colon

Although some papers report that acute prebiotic treatment does not necessarily affect appetite sensation (e.g., 93), Tarini & Wolever have shown that a single dose of prebiotics (i.e., inulin) significantly increased postprandial plasma GLP-1 and decreased plasma ghrelin. This contradicts the perceived necessity to wait for persistent and prolonged gut microbiota modulation to allow any effect on gut endocrine function. In a different set of experiments, the same group has shown that the mechanism could be directly dependent on SCFA production following gut microbiota fermentation. The authors proposed that acetate could play a crucial role in this mechanism (50, 51). Interestingly, the modulation of plasma SCFAs was related to changes in gut peptides regulating appetite as well as with lower inflammatory markers in insulin-resistant subjects (50, 51).

These data support the idea that among the metabolites produced by the gut microbiota, the SCFAs participate in the release of gut peptides involved in appetite and body weight regulation. However, there is no clear view of the molecular mechanism by which some bacteria or bacterial products are able to increase the differentiation of L cells in the colon. The role of specific gut microbes in these processes remains to be elucidated.

Regulation of glucose homeostasis and gut barrier function. The promotion of gut peptides by a prebiotic approach is a process involved in other biological functions. The invalidation of the GLP-1 receptor by the use of a specific antagonist or by GLP-1 receptor knock-out mice completely abrogates the improvement of hepatic insulin sensitivity and glycemia by prebiotics. These experimental data support the fact that the promotion of GLP-1 production upon prebiotic approach is an essential driver of the improvement of **NASH:** nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

NAFLD:

nonalcoholic fatty liver disease host metabolism in the contact of obesity. In addition, the increase in postprandial GLP-1 secretion occurs in healthy individuals treated with prebiotics, and this is coordinated with a decrease in glucose response (**Figure 3**) (21, 23). The few studies performed in persons with diabetes remain, however, quite controversial in term of prebiotic efficacy (for review, see 99).

L cells also produce other peptides, such as GLP-2, which is relevant to explain the improvement of the gut barrier function by prebiotics in obese animals (26) (**Figure 3**). The modulation of the endocrine function by prebiotics could also contribute to their potential to counteract inflammation associated with obesity (described in the next section).

Modulation of Obesity-Related Inflammation by Prebiotics and Probiotics

A large body of evidence, mostly from animal studies, has highlighted the interesting concept that putative gut bacterial-derived compounds can affect liver metabolism and cause systemic diseases (89, 90). In view of our experimental data, we have elaborated the concept that the serum level of LPS, a major component of the gram-negative bacteria, increases slightly upon obesity and steatosis, creating a metabolic endotoxemia, but is sufficient to stimulate proinflammatory cytokines and to modify glucose and lipid metabolism in the liver or in the adipose tissue (17, 18, 66, 87). In accordance, it has been proposed that endotoxemia is a major risk for inducing alcoholic liver diseases and hepatic inflammation in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (2, 120) as well as nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in humans (58, 114). It has been suggested that intestinal bacterial growth could promote gut barrier alteration (i.e., by decreased soluble IgA). Moreover, slight bacterial overgrowth can increase LPS in the enteric cavity, leading to gut mucosal barrier damage and metabolic endotoxemia (73). Importantly, it has been recently demonstrated in humans that both NASH and NAFLD are associated with increased gut permeability (49, 83). We have also demonstrated

the alteration of gut barrier function in genetic models of obesity (26). Altogether, these studies strongly suggest a direct link between the gut microbiota, the gut barrier function (leaky gut), and hepatic alterations.

Compelling data obtained in animals and humans provide evidence that changing the gut microbiota by using prebiotics or probiotics has a salutary effect on the development of liver diseases. Briefly, we found that prebiotic feeding reduced liver diseases in several animal models (i.e., diet-induced obesity models and genetic obese rodents ob/ob, db/db, and Zucker fa/fa) as well as in human subjects (25, 26, 35-37, 109). Similarly, changing the gut microbiota by using probiotics significantly suppressed high-fatdiet-induced activation of nuclear factor K-B signaling involved in the development of highfat-diet-induced insulin resistance (78). In addition, the administration of probiotic lactobacilli to rats developing alcohol-induced metabolic endotoxemia and liver disease reduced plasma endotoxin levels and the liver pathology score (86). A probiotic mixture of bifidobacteria, lactobacilli, and Streptococcus thermophilus has been shown to decrease liver inflammation in genetic obese mice (74) and high-fat-diet-induced hepatic inflammation in young rats (48). The role of probiotics in liver health and overall health has been extensively reviewed elsewhere (57, 107).

The potential of prebiotics to control gut-related inflammation in the context of obesity would involve a specific gut peptide released by L cells, namely GLP-2. In addition, the improvement of the gut barrier function by prebiotics would also implicate a blunting in endocannabinoid system activation (26, 84). Those targets have not yet been studied using a probiotics approach. It is therefore impossible to estimate the relevance of the selective changes in the gut microbiota composition in the improvement of gut barrier function by GLP-2 and the endocannabinoid system.

CONCLUSIONS AND NEW PERSPECTIVES

Taken together, the data currently published suggest that specific changes in the gut

microbiota occur in overweight or obese patients and are either positively or negatively linked with adiposity, inflammation, and glucose or lipid homeostasis. Prebiotic and probiotic approaches are very useful in evaluating the relevance of specific types of bacteria in the occurrence or in the degradation of pathologies associated with obesity, including diabetes and liver diseases. In addition, the fact that colonic nutrients, defined as nutritional substrates for gut microbes, could be helpful in the management of obesity and related disorders, would help identify which type of "dietary fiber" could be proposed in this pathophysiological context. Further research is needed to evaluate the relevance of promising data obtained with probiotic and prebiotic approaches in obese, diabetic, or NASH patients. Studies are also needed to determine whether changes in the gut microbiota and/or activity (SCFAs or other metabolites) are responsible for the promotion of host functions associated with a well-balanced ("healthy") gut microbiota.

SUMMARY POINTS

- The gut microbiota helps balance key vital functions for the host, including immunity and nutritional status.
- 2. The changes in the gut microbiota composition and/or activity may be implicated in the control of inflammation, fat storage, and altered glucose response in obese patients.
- Short-chain fatty acids appear to be "indirect nutrients" produced by the gut microbiota that can modulate adiposity and immunity.
- 4. Probiotics and prebiotics are interesting research tools to assess the relevance of specific bacteria in obesity.
- 5. Prebiotics may lessen obesity and related metabolic stress by modulating gut peptides involved in the control of appetite and gut barrier function.

FUTURE ISSUES

- A future challenge in nutrition is to assess and confirm in human studies the relevance of colonic nutrients able to selectively promote beneficial bacteria (probiotics, prebiotics, and others) to control adiposity and related metabolic disorders.
- 2. The analysis of the metabolic phenotype in biological fluids will be helpful in identifying novel biomarkers, reflecting the nutrition-driven changes in the gut microbiota composition and/or activity.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P.D.C. is a Research Associate from the FRS-FNRS (Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique, Belgique). N.M.D. and P.D.C. are recipients of subsidies from FSR (fonds spéciaux de recherche) and UCL (Université catholique de Louvain).

LITERATURE CITED

- Abrams SA, Griffin IJ, Hawthorne KM, Ellis KJ. 2007. Effect of prebiotic supplementation and calcium intake on body mass index. *J. Pediatr.* 151:293–98
- Adachi Y, Moore LE, Bradford BU, Gao W, Thurman RG. 1995. Antibiotics prevent liver injury in rats following long-term exposure to ethanol. *Gastroenterology* 108:218–24
- Al-Lahham SH, Peppelenbosch MP, Roelofsen H, Vonk RJ, Venema K. 2010. Biological effects of propionic acid in humans: metabolism, potential applications and underlying mechanisms. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1801:1175–83
- Andreasen AS, Larsen N, Pedersen-Skovsgaard T, Berg RM, Moller K, et al. 2010. Effects of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM on insulin sensitivity and the systemic inflammatory response in human subjects. *Br. 7. Nutr.* 104:1831–38
- Archer BJ, Johnson SK, Devereux HM, Baxter AL. 2004. Effect of fat replacement by inulin or lupinkernel fibre on sausage patty acceptability, post-meal perceptions of satiety and food intake in men. Br. 7. Nutr. 91:591–99
- Armougom F, Henry M, Vialettes B, Raccah D, Raoult D. 2009. Monitoring bacterial community of human gut microbiota reveals an increase in *Lactobacillus* in obese patients and *Methanogens* in anorexic patients. *PLoS One* 4:e7125
- Aronsson L, Huang Y, Parini P, Korach-Andre M, Hakansson J, et al. 2010. Decreased fat storage by Lactobacillus paracasei is associated with increased levels of angiopoietin-like 4 protein (ANGPTL4). PLoS One 5:e13087
- Aziz AA, Kenney LS, Goulet B, Abdel-Aal E. 2009. Dietary starch type affects body weight and glycemic control in freely fed but not energy-restricted obese rats. *J. Nutr.* 139:1881–89
- Backhed F, Crawford PA. 2010. Coordinated regulation of the metabolome and lipidome at the hostmicrobial interface. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1801:240–45
- Backhed F, Ding H, Wang T, Hooper LV, Koh GY, et al. 2004. The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101:15718–23
- Balamurugan R, George G, Kabeerdoss J, Hepsiba J, Chandragunasekaran AM, Ramakrishna BS. 2010. Quantitative differences in intestinal *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* in obese Indian children. *Br. J. Nutr.* 103:335–38
- Bjursell M, Admyre T, Goransson M, Marley AE, Smith DM, et al. 2010. Improved glucose control and reduced body fat mass in free fatty acid receptor 2 (FFAR2) deficient mice fed a high fat diet. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. DOI: 10.1152/ajpendo.00229.2010
- Boesten RJ, de Vos WM. 2008. Interactomics in the human intestine: Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria make a difference. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 42(Suppl. 3, Pt. 2):S163–67
- Boesten RJ, Schuren FH, de Vos WM. 2009. A *Bifidobacterium* mixed-species microarray for high resolution discrimination between intestinal bifidobacteria. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 76:269–77
- Calvani R, Miccheli A, Capuani G, Tomassini MA, Puccetti C, et al. 2010. Gut microbiome-derived metabolites characterize a peculiar obese urinary metabotype. Int. 7. Obes. (Lond.) 34:1095–98
- Cani PD, Amar J, Iglesias MA, Poggi M, Knauf C, et al. 2007. Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity and insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 56:1761–72
- Cani PD, Delzenne NM. 2009a. Interplay between obesity and associated metabolic disorders: new insights into the gut microbiota. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 9:737–43
- Cani PD, Delzenne NM. 2009b. The role of the gut microbiota in energy metabolism and metabolic disease. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 15:1546–58
- 19. Cani PD, Dewever C, Delzenne NM. 2004. Inulin-type fructans modulate gastrointestinal peptides involved in appetite regulation (glucagon-like peptide-1 and ghrelin) in rats. Br. J. Nutr. 92:521–26
- Cani PD, Hoste S, Guiot Y, Delzenne NM. 2007. Dietary non-digestible carbohydrates promote L-cell differentiation in the proximal colon of rats. Br. J. Nutr. 98:32–37
- Cani PD, Joly E, Horsmans Y, Delzenne NM. 2006. Oligofructose promotes satiety in healthy human: a pilot study. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 60:567–72
- Cani PD, Knauf C, Iglesias MA, Drucker DJ, Delzenne NM, Burcelin R. 2006. Improvement of glucose tolerance and hepatic insulin sensitivity by oligofructose requires a functional glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor. *Diabetes* 55:1484–90

- 23. Cani PD, Lecourt E, Dewulf EM, Sohet FM, Pachikian BD, et al. 2009. Gut microbiota fermentation of prebiotics increases satietogenic and incretin gut peptide production with consequences for appetite sensation and glucose response after a meal. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 90:1236–43
- Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Fava F, Knauf C, Burcelin RG, et al. 2007. Selective increases of bifdobacteria in gut microflora improve high-fat-diet-induced diabetes in mice through a mechanism associated with endotoxaemia. *Diabetologia* 50:2374–83
- Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Maton N, Delzenne NM. 2005. Oligofructose promotes satiety in rats fed a high-fat diet: involvement of glucagon-like peptide-1. Obes. Res. 13:1000–7
- Cani PD, Possemiers S, Van de WT, Guiot Y, Everard A, et al. 2009. Changes in gut microbiota control inflammation in obese mice through a mechanism involving GLP-2-driven improvement of gut permeability. *Gut* 58:1091–103
- Cerf-Bensussan N, Gaboriau-Routhiau V. 2010. The immune system and the gut microbiota: friends or foes? Nat. Rev. Immunol. 10:735–44
- Chaudhri OB, Salem V, Murphy KG, Bloom SR. 2008. Gastrointestinal satiety signals. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 70:239–55
- Clarke TB, Davis KM, Lysenko ES, Zhou AY, Yu Y, Weiser JN. 2010. Recognition of peptidoglycan from the microbiota by Nod1 enhances systemic innate immunity. *Nat. Med.* 16:228–31
- Claus SP, Ellero SL, Berger B, Krause L, Bruttin A, et al. 2011. Colonization-induced host-gut microbial metabolic interaction. mBio 2:2
- Claus SP, Tsang TM, Wang Y, Cloarec O, Skordi E, et al. 2008. Systemic multicompartmental effects of the gut microbiome on mouse metabolic phenotypes. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 4:219
- Collado MC, Isolauri E, Laitinen K, Salminen S. 2008. Distinct composition of gut microbiota during pregnancy in overweight and normal-weight women. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 88:894–99
- Cowley MA, Smith RG, Diano S, Tschop M, Pronchuk N, et al. 2003. The distribution and mechanism of action of ghrelin in the CNS demonstrates a novel hypothalamic circuit regulating energy homeostasis. *Neuron* 37:649–61
- Cox MA, Jackson J, Stanton M, Rojas-Triana A, Bober L, et al. 2009. Short-chain fatty acids act as antiinflammatory mediators by regulating prostaglandin E(2) and cytokines. World J. Gastroenterol. 15:5549–57
- Daubioul C, Rousseau N, Demeure R, Gallez B, Taper H, et al. 2002. Dietary fructans, but not cellulose, decrease triglyceride accumulation in the liver of obese Zucker fa/fa rats. J. Nutr. 132:967–73
- Daubioul CA, Horsmans Y, Lambert P, Danse E, Delzenne NM. 2005. Effects of oligofructose on glucose and lipid metabolism in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: results of a pilot study. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 59:723–26
- Daubioul CA, Taper HS, De Wispelaere LD, Delzenne NM. 2000. Dietary oligofructose lessens hepatic steatosis, but does not prevent hypertriglyceridemia in obese Zucker rats. J. Nutr. 130:1314–19
- Delmee E, Cani PD, Gual G, Knauf C, Burcelin R, et al. 2006. Relation between colonic proglucagon expression and metabolic response to oligofructose in high fat diet-fed mice. *Life Sci.* 79:1007–13
- 39. Delzenne N, Reid G. 2009. No causal link between obesity and probiotics. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 7:901
- Delzenne NM, Cani PD, Daubioul C, Neyrinck AM. 2005. Impact of inulin and oligofructose on gastrointestinal peptides. Br. J. Nutr. 93(Suppl. 1):S157–61
- 41. Delzenne NM, Williams CM. 2002. Prebiotics and lipid metabolism. Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 13:61-67
- Devillard E, McIntosh FM, Duncan SH, Wallace RJ. 2007. Metabolism of linoleic acid by human gut bacteria: different routes for biosynthesis of conjugated linoleic acid. *J. Bacteriol.* 189:2566–70
- 43. Dewulf EM, Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Possemiers S, Van Holle A, et al. 2010. Inulin-type fructans with prebiotic properties counteract GPR-43 overexpression and PPARg-related adipogenesis in the white adipose tissue of high-fat fed mice. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* DOI: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2010.05.009
- Druce MR, Small CJ, Bloom SR. 2004. Minireview: gut peptides regulating satiety. *Endocrinology* 145:2660–65
- Duncan SH, Lobley GE, Holtrop G, Ince J, Johnstone AM, et al. 2008. Human colonic microbiota associated with diet, obesity and weight loss. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond.)* 32:1720–24
- Duncan SH, Louis P, Thomson JM, Flint HJ. 2009. The role of pH in determining the species composition of the human colonic microbiota. *Environ. Microbiol.* 11:2112–22

- 47. Ehrlich SD. 2009. Probiotics-little evidence for a link to obesity. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 7:901
- Esposito E, Iacono A, Bianco G, Autore G, Cuzzocrea S, et al. 2009. Probiotics reduce the inflammatory response induced by a high-fat diet in the liver of young rats. J. Nutr. 139:905–11
- Farhadi A, Gundlapalli S, Shaikh M, Frantzides C, Harrell L, et al. 2008. Susceptibility to gut leakiness: a possible mechanism for endotoxaemia in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Liver Int.* 28:1026–33
- Freeland KR, Wilson C, Wolever TM. 2010. Adaptation of colonic fermentation and glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion with increased wheat fibre intake for 1 year in hyperinsulinaemic human subjects. *Br. J. Nutr.* 103:82–90
- Freeland KR, Wolever TM. 2010. Acute effects of intravenous and rectal acetate on glucagon-like peptide-1, peptide YY, ghrelin, adiponectin and tumour necrosis factor-alpha. Br. J. Nutr. 103:460–66
- Furet JP, Kong LC, Tap J, Poitou C, Basdevant A, et al. 2010. Differential adaptation of human gut microbiota to bariatric surgery-induced weight loss: links with metabolic and low-grade inflammation markers. *Diabetes*. DOI: 10.2337/db10–0253
- Ge H, Li X, Weiszmann J, Wang P, Baribault H, et al. 2008. Activation of G protein-coupled receptor 43 in adipocytes leads to inhibition of lipolysis and suppression of plasma free fatty acids. *Endocrinology* 149:4519–26
- Gee JM, Johnson IT. 2005. Dietary lactitol fermentation increases circulating peptide YY and glucagonlike peptide-1 in rats and humans. *Nutrition* 21:1036–43
- Genta S, Cabrera W, Habib N, Pons J, Carillo IM, et al. 2009. Yacon syrup: beneficial effects on obesity and insulin resistance in humans. *Clin. Nutr.* 28:182–87
- Gorissen L, Raes K, Weckx S, Dannenberger D, Leroy F, et al. 2010. Production of conjugated linoleic acid and conjugated linolenic acid isomers by *Bifidobacterium* species. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 87:2257– 66
- Gratz SW, Mykkanen H, El-Nezami HS. 2010. Probiotics and gut health: a special focus on liver diseases. World J. Gastroenterol. 16:403–10
- Harte AL, da Silva NF, Creely SJ, McGee KC, Billyard T, et al. 2010. Elevated endotoxin levels in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Inflamm. (Lond.)* 7:15
- Hildebrandt MA, Hoffmann C, Sherrill-Mix SA, Keilbaugh SA, Hamady M, et al. 2009. High-fat diet determines the composition of the murine gut microbiome independently of obesity. *Gastroenterology* 137:1716–24
- Kadooka Y, Sato M, Imaizumi K, Ogawa A, Ikuyama K, et al. 2010. Regulation of abdominal adiposity by probiotics (*Lactobacillus gasseri* SBT2055) in adults with obese tendencies in a randomized controlled trial. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 64:636–43
- Kalliomaki M, Collado MC, Salminen S, Isolauri E. 2008. Early differences in fecal microbiota composition in children may predict overweight. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 87:534–38
- Keenan MJ, Zhou J, McCutcheon KL, Raggio AM, Bateman HG, et al. 2006. Effects of resistant starch, a non-digestible fermentable fiber, on reducing body fat. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 14:1523–34
- Kishino S, Ogawa J, Yokozeki K, Shimizu S. 2009. Metabolic diversity in biohydrogenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids by lactic acid bacteria involving conjugated fatty acid production. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 84:87–97
- Knauf C, Cani PD, Perrin C, Iglesias MA, Maury JF, et al. 2005. Brain glucagon-like peptide-1 increases insulin secretion and muscle insulin resistance to favor hepatic glycogen storage. *J. Clin. Invest.* 115:3554– 63
- 65. Kok NN, Morgan LM, Williams CM, Roberfroid MB, Thissen JP, Delzenne NM. 1998. Insulin, glucagon-like peptide 1, glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and insulin-like growth factor I as putative mediators of the hypolipidemic effect of oligofructose in rats. *J. Nutr.* 128:1099–103
- Lanthier N, Molendi-Coste O, Horsmans Y, van RN, Cani PD, Leclercq IA. 2009. Kupffer cell activation is a causal factor for hepatic insulin resistance. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 298:107–16
- Larsen N, Vogensen FK, van den Berg FW, Nielsen DS, Andreasen AS, et al. 2010. Gut microbiota in human adults with type 2 diabetes differs from non-diabetic adults. *PLoS ONE* 5:e9085
- Lazarevic V, Whiteson K, Hernandez D, François P, Schrenzel J. 2010. Study of inter- and intraindividual variations in the salivary microbiota. *BMC Genomics* 11:523

- Lazarevic V, Whiteson K, Huse S, Hernandez D, Farinelli L, et al. 2009. Metagenomic study of the oral microbiota by illumina high-throughput sequencing. J. Microbiol. Methods 79:266–71
- Le Poul E, Loison C, Struyf S, Springael JY, Lannoy V, et al. 2003. Functional characterization of human receptors for short chain fatty acids and their role in polymorphonuclear cell activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278:25481–89
- Ley RE, Backhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD, Gordon JI. 2005. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102:11070–75
- Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JI. 2006. Microbial ecology: human gut microbes associated with obesity. *Nature* 444:1022–23
- Li S, Wu WC, He CY, Han Z, Jin DY, Wang L. 2008. Change of intestinal mucosa barrier function in the progress of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in rats. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 14:3254–58
- 74. Li Z, Yang S, Lin H, Huang J, Watkins PA, et al. 2003. Probiotics and antibodies to TNF inhibit inflammatory activity and improve nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 37:343–50
- Louis P, Flint HJ. 2009. Diversity, metabolism and microbial ecology of butyrate-producing bacteria from the human large intestine. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 294:1–8
- Luoto R, Kalliomaki M, Laitinen K, Isolauri E. 2010. The impact of perinatal probiotic intervention on the development of overweight and obesity: follow-up study from birth to 10 years. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond.)* 34:1531–37
- Luoto R, Laitinen K, Nermes M, Isolauri E. 2010. Impact of maternal probiotic-supplemented dietary counselling on pregnancy outcome and prenatal and postnatal growth: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Br. J. Nutr. 103:1792–99
- Ma X, Hua J, Li Z. 2008. Probiotics improve high fat diet-induced hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance by increasing hepatic NKT cells. *J. Hepatol.* 49:821–30
- Marquet P, Duncan SH, Chassard C, Bernalier-Donadille A, Flint HJ. 2009. Lactate has the potential to promote hydrogen sulphide formation in the human colon. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 299:128–34
- Masood MI, Qadir MI, Shirazi JH, Khan IU. 2011. Beneficial effects of lactic acid bacteria on human beings. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 37:91–98
- Maurer AD, Chen Q, McPherson C, Reimer RA. 2009. Changes in satiety hormones and expression of genes involved in glucose and lipid metabolism in rats weaned onto diets high in fibre or protein reflect susceptibility to increased fat mass in adulthood. *J. Physiol.* 587:679–91
- Maurer AD, Eller LK, Hallam MC, Taylor K, Reimer RA. 2010. Consumption of diets high in prebiotic fiber or protein during growth influences the response to a high fat and sucrose diet in adulthood in rats. *Nutr. Metab. (Lond.)* 7:77
- Miele L, Valenza V, La TG, Montalto M, Cammarota G, et al. 2009. Increased intestinal permeability and tight junction alterations in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 49:1877–87
- Muccioli GG, Naslain D, Backhed F, Reigstad CS, Lambert DM, et al. 2010. The endocannabinoid system links gut microbiota to adipogenesis. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 6:392
- Murphy EF, Cotter PD, Healy S, Marques TM, O'Sullivan O, et al. 2010. Composition and energy harvesting capacity of the gut microbiota: relationship to diet, obesity and time in mouse models. *Gut* 59:1635–42
- Nanji AA, Khettry U, Sadrzadeh SM. 1994. Lactobacillus feeding reduces endotoxemia and severity of experimental alcoholic liver (disease). Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 205:243–47
- Neyrinck AM, Cani PD, Dewulf EM, De BF, Bindels LB, Delzenne NM. 2009. Critical role of Kupffer cells in the management of diet-induced diabetes and obesity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 385:351–56
- Nilsson NE, Kotarsky K, Owman C, Olde B. 2003. Identification of a free fatty acid receptor, FFA2R, expressed on leukocytes and activated by short-chain fatty acids. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 303:1047– 52
- 89. Nolan JP. 1979. The contribution of gut-derived endotoxins to liver injury. Yale J. Biol. Med. 52:127-33
- 90. Nolan JP, Leibowitz AI. 1978. Endotoxins in liver disease. Gastroenterology 75:765-66
- Parnell JA, Reimer RA. 2008. Differential secretion of satiety hormones with progression of obesity in JCR:LA-corpulent rats. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 16:736–42

- Parnell JA, Reimer RA. 2009. Weight loss during oligofructose supplementation is associated with decreased ghrelin and increased peptide YY in overweight and obese adults. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 89:1751– 59
- Peters HP, Boers HM, Haddeman E, Melnikov SM, Qvyjt F. 2009. No effect of added beta-glucan or of fructooligosaccharide on appetite or energy intake. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 89:58–63
- Piche T, des Varannes SB, Sacher-Huvelin S, Holst JJ, Cuber JC, Galmiche JP. 2003. Colonic fermentation influences lower esophageal sphincter function in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastroenterology* 124:894–902
- Preidis GA, Hill C, Guerrant RL, Ramakrishna BS, Tannock GW, Versalovic J. 2011. Probiotics, enteric and diarrheal diseases, and global health. *Gastroenterology* 140:8–14
- Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, et al. 2010. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 464:59–65
- Ramirez-Farias C, Slezak K, Fuller Z, Duncan A, Holtrop G, Louis P. 2009. Effect of inulin on the human gut microbiota: stimulation of *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*. Br. J. Nutr. 101:541–50
- 98. Raoult D. 2008. Human microbiome: take-home lesson on growth promoters? Nature 454:690-91
- Roberfroid M, Gibson GR, Hoyles L, McCartney AL, Rastall R, et al. 2010. Prebiotic effects: metabolic and health benefits. Br. J. Nutr. 104:S1–63
- 100. Sahakian AB, Jee SR, Pimentel M. 2010. Methane and the gastrointestinal tract. Dig. Dis. Sci. 55:2135-43
- Santacruz A, Collado MC, Garcia-Valdes L, Segura MT, Martin-Lagos JA, et al. 2010. Gut microbiota composition is associated with body weight, weight gain and biochemical parameters in pregnant women. *Br. J. Nutr.* 104:83–92
- Santacruz A, Marcos A, Warnberg J, Marti A, Martin-Matillas M, et al. 2009. Interplay between weight loss and gut microbiota composition in overweight adolescents. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 17:1906–15
- Saulnier DM, Spinler JK, Gibson GR, Versalovic J. 2009. Mechanisms of probiosis and prebiosis: considerations for enhanced functional foods. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 20:135–41
- 104. Schwiertz A, Taras D, Schafer K, Beijer S, Bos NA, et al. 2011. Microbiota and SCFA in lean and overweight healthy subjects. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 18:190–95
- Sekirov I, Russell SL, Antunes LC, Finlay BB. 2010. Gut microbiota in health and disease. *Physiol. Rev.* 90:859–904
- Shen L, Keenan MJ, Martin RJ, Tulley RT, Raggio AM, et al. 2009. Dietary resistant starch increases hypothalamic POMC expression in rats. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 17:40–45
- 107. Sheth AA, Garcia-Tsao G. 2008. Probiotics and liver disease. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 42(Suppl. 2):S80-84
- Stoddart LA, Smith NJ, Milligan G. 2008. International Union of Pharmacology. LXXI. Free fatty acid receptors FFA1, -2, and -3: pharmacology and pathophysiological functions. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 60:405–17
- 109. Sugatani J, Wada T, Osabe M, Yamakawa K, Yoshinari K, Miwa M. 2006. Dietary inulin alleviates hepatic steatosis and xenobiotics-induced liver injury in rats fed a high-fat and high-sucrose diet: association with the suppression of hepatic cytochrome P450 and hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha expression. Drug Metab. Dispos. 34:1677–87
- 110. Swann JR, Want EJ, Geier FM, Spagou K, Wilson ID, et al. 2011. Microbes and Health Sackler Colloquium: systemic gut microbial modulation of bile acid metabolism in host tissue compartments. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108(Suppl. 1):4523–30
- 111. Takemura N, Okubo T, Sonoyama K. 2010. Lactobacillus plantarum strain no. 14 reduces adipocyte size in mice fed high-fat diet. Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood) 235:849–56
- Tang Y, Chen Y, Jiang H, Robbins GT, Nie D. 2010. G-protein-coupled receptor for short-chain fatty acids suppresses colon cancer. Int. J. Cancer 128:847–56
- 113. Tarini J, Wolever TM. 2010. The fermentable fibre inulin increases postprandial serum short-chain fatty acids and reduces free-fatty acids and ghrelin in healthy subjects. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 35:9–16
- 114. Thuy S, Ladurner R, Volynets V, Wagner S, Strahl S, et al. 2008. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in humans is associated with increased plasma endotoxin and plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 concentrations and with fructose intake. J. Nutr. 138:1452–55
- 115. Turnbaugh PJ, Backhed F, Fulton L, Gordon JI. 2008. Diet-induced obesity is linked to marked but reversible alterations in the mouse distal gut microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* 3:213–23

- Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, Cantarel BL, Duncan A, et al. 2009. A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. *Nature* 457:480–84
- 117. Turnbaugh PJ, Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, Rey FE, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2009. The effect of diet on the human gut microbiome: a metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 1:6ra14
- Turroni F, Marchesi JR, Foroni E, Gueimonde M, Shanahan F, et al. 2009. Microbiomic analysis of the bifidobacterial population in the human distal gut. *ISME*. J. 3:745–51
- Verbeke K, Ferchaud-Roucher V, Preston T, Small AC, Henckaerts L, et al. 2010. Influence of the type of indigestible carbohydrate on plasma and urine short-chain fatty acid profiles in healthy human volunteers. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 64:678–84
- Verdam FJ, Rensen SS, Driessen A, Greve JW, Buurman WA. 2010. Novel evidence for chronic exposure to endotoxin in human nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* 5:149–52
- 121. Waldram A, Holmes E, Wang Y, Rantalainen M, Wilson ID, et al. 2009. Top-down systems biology modeling of host metabotype-microbiome associations in obese rodents. *J. Proteome Res.* 8:2361–75
- 122. Wall R, Ross RP, Shanahan F, O'Mahony L, O'Mahony C, et al. 2009. Metabolic activity of the enteric microbiota influences the fatty acid composition of murine and porcine liver and adipose tissues. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 89:1393–401
- 123. Whelan K, Efthymiou L, Judd PA, Preedy VR, Taylor MA. 2006. Appetite during consumption of enteral formula as a sole source of nutrition: the effect of supplementing pea-fibre and fructo-oligosaccharides. *Br. J. Nutr.* 96:350–56
- 124. Wu X, Ma C, Han L, Nawaz M, Gao F, et al. 2010. Molecular characterisation of the faecal microbiota in patients with type II diabetes. *Curr. Microbiol.* 61:69–78
- 125. Wynne K, Stanley S, McGowan B, Bloom S. 2005. Appetite control. J. Endocrinol. 184:291-318
- 126. Zaibi MS, Stocker CJ, O'Dowd J, Davies A, Bellahcene M, et al. 2010. Roles of GPR41 and GPR43 in leptin secretory responses of murine adipocytes to short chain fatty acids. *FEBS Lett.* 584:2381–86
- 127. Zhang C, Zhang M, Wang S, Han R, Cao Y, et al. 2010. Interactions between gut microbiota, host genetics and diet relevant to development of metabolic syndromes in mice. *ISME J*. 4:232–41
- Zhang H, DiBaise JK, Zuccolo A, Kudrna D, Braidotti M, et al. 2009. Human gut microbiota in obesity and after gastric bypass. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106:2365–70
- Zhou J, Hegsted M, McCutcheon KL, Keenan MJ, Xi X, et al. 2006. Peptide YY and proglucagon mRNA expression patterns and regulation in the gut. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 14:683–89
- Zhou J, Martin RJ, Tulley RT, Raggio AM, McCutcheon KL, et al. 2008. Dietary resistant starch upregulates total GLP-1 and PYY in a sustained day-long manner through fermentation in rodents. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 295:E1160–66



Figure 1

Gut microbiota might be involved in energy storage through various mechanisms. The fermentation of carbohydrates by the gut microbiota is associated with higher short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) production and absorption. They can be used as lipogenic substrates in host tissues but would act on adiposity mostly by promoting fat storage via the activation of specific receptors (GPR43 and 41). The presence of the gut microbiota suppresses the intestinal synthesis of the fasting-induced adipose factor (FIAF or angiopoietin-like factor IV), an effect that drives the activity of the enzyme lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and fat storage in the adipose tissue. In addition, hepatic and muscle fatty acid oxidation can be altered by the gut microbiota through a 5' adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-dependent mechanism. Finally, the low-grade inflammation and insulin resistance observed in obesity can be triggered by alteration of the gut barrier (namely by a decrease in tight junction proteins ZO-1 and Occludin) and by activation the endocannabinoid (eCB) system tone, leading to higher plasma lipopolysaccharide (LPS) levels. Those events contribute to fat storage, mostly associated with excess in dietary fat intake.



Figure 2

The gut microbiota is a complex ecosystem acting in symbiosis with the host. The gut microbiome encodes a consortium of genes exceeding the human genome by a magnitude of 150. These trillions of cells are shaped by numerous factors directly dependent on the host and including the delivery mode (cesarean versus vaginal), by the gender, the age, and the host genotype. The gut microbiota is also modified according to different environmental factors including diet, drugs (e.g., antibiotics, antiulcer drugs), geolocation (e.g., American versus Japanese), and gastrointestinal surgeries. Finally, different pathophysiological backgrounds (i.e., body mass index, nonalcoholic fatty liver diseases, and cardiovascular diseases) have been linked with changes in the gut microbial community. Whether these changes are associated or causative remains to be determined.



Figure 3

Prebiotic-induced changes in the gut microbiota improve obesity and related metabolic disorders. Prebiotics change the composition of the gut microbiota. This is associated with restored tight-junction protein (ZO-1 and Occludin) distribution and localization. Hence, the gut permeability is decreased and plasma lipopolysaccharide (LPS) levels (metabolic endotoxemia) are lowered. The modulation of the gut microbiota is associated with specific changes in the plasma gut peptide profiles [enhanced glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), GLP-2, and peptide YY (PYY), and reduced ghrelin]. Altogether, these effects are associated with a decrease in hunger, body weight, fat mass, type 2 diabetes, gut permeability, and low-grade inflammation characterizing obesity.

A

v

Annual Review of Nutrition

Contents

Volume 31, 2011

Nutritional Scientist or Biochemist? <i>J.W. Suttie</i> 1
Interaction Between Obesity and the Gut Microbiota: Relevance in Nutrition <i>Nathalie M. Delzenne and Patrice D. Cani</i>
The Implication of Brown Adipose Tissue for Humans Eric Ravussin and José E. Galgani
The Role of MicroRNAs in Cholesterol Efflux and Hepatic Lipid Metabolism Kathryn J. Moore, Katey J. Rayner, Yajaira Suárez, and Carlos Fernández-Hernando 49
Cytochrome P450s in the Regulation of Cellular Retinoic Acid Metabolism <i>A. Catharine Ross and Reza Zolfaghari</i>
Vitamin D in Pregnancy and Lactation in Humans Patsy M. Brannon and Mary Frances Picciano
Knockout Mouse Models of Iron Homeostasis Robert E. Fleming, Qi Feng, and Robert S. Britton
Zinc in Neurotransmission Katalin Tóth
Potential Mechanisms by Which Polyphenol-Rich Grapes Prevent Obesity-Mediated Inflammation and Metabolic Diseases <i>Chia-Chi Chuang and Michael K. McIntosh</i>
Mechanisms of Membrane Transport of Folates into Cells and Across Epithelia <i>Rongbao Zhao, Ndeye Diop-Bove, Michele Visentin, and I. David Goldman</i>
The Impact of Common Gene Variants on the Response of Biomarkers of Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) Risk to Increased Fish Oil Fatty Acids Intakes Jacqueline Madden, Christine M. Williams, Philip C. Calder, Georg Lietz, Elizabeth A. Miles, Heather Cordell, John C. Mathers, and Anne Marie Minihane 203

How Is Maternal Nutrition Related to Preterm Birth? <i>Frank H. Bloomfield</i>	235
How Many People Are Malnourished? <i>Peter Svedberg</i>	263
What Are the Risks and Benefits to Increasing Dietary Bone Minerals and Vitamin D Intake in Infants and Small Children? <i>Steven A. Abrams</i>	285
Nutrigenomics, Rumen-Derived Bioactive Fatty Acids, and the Regulation of Milk Fat Synthesis Dale E. Bauman, Kevin J. Harvatine, and Adam L. Lock	299
Docosahexaenoic Acid Signalolipidomics in Nutrition: Significance in Aging, Neuroinflammation, Macular Degeneration, Alzheimer's, and Other Neurodegenerative Diseases <i>Nicolas G. Bazan, Miguel F. Molina, and William C. Gordon</i>	321
Energy Intake and Response to Infection with Influenza Elizabeth M. Gardner, Eleni Beli, Jonathan F. Clinthorne, and David M. Duriancik	353

Indexes

Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 27–31	369
Cumulative Index of Chapter Titles, Volumes 27–31	. 372

Errata

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Nutrition* articles may be found at http://nutr.annualreviews.org/errata.shtml